



**Site Name:** Little Flexford  
**Recorder Number:** 2443  
**Grid Ref:** SU 934 503  
**Parish:** Normandy  
**Ward:** Normandy  
**Area of SSSI:** 1.6 ha  
**Previous SSSI surveys:** 04/09/1995, Giles Groome  
  
**Date of current survey:** 06/07/2016 & 28/07/2016  
**Surveyor(s):** Isobel Girvan & Danial Winchester

**Quality control**

The information and data which has been prepared and provided is true and has been prepared and provided in accordance with the 'Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal' and 'Code of Professional Conduct' issued by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM). We confirm that the opinions expressed are our true and professional bona fide opinions.

		Date
Survey Ecologist	Isobel Girvan BSc (Hons) MCIEEM Danial Winchester Bsc (Hons) MCIEEM	Survey date 06/07/2016 & 28/07/2016
Report Author	Isobel Girvan BSc (Hons) MCIEEM	Date passed on for authorisation 20/09/2016
Approved by	Claire Gibbs BSc (Hons) MSc MCIEEM	Date authorised as complete 20/09/2016

### Site description

The site, situated to the east of Wanborough, is composed of two horse-grazed, semi-improved neutral grassland paddocks, divided by a central stream. Typical of the underlying London Clay and the nature of the low-lying stream, soils are composed of heavy, base-rich surface-water gleys. The site is bordered by a railway along the northern edge, there are residential properties to the west and south and an arable field to the east.

### Previous reason for selection

The site was originally selected in 1995 for '*its semi-improved grassland and stream supporting locally scarce plant species and Water Voles.*'

### Ownership

The site is owned by a private landowner.

### Habitat description and target notes

A full National Vegetation Classification (NVC) survey was not carried out, however where NVC communities are described these are those that, in the surveyor's opinion, best fit the habitats present at the site visit.

Throughout the descriptions, plants are referred to by their common names. For reference the full species list at the end of the report lists both common and scientific names.

#### Broad-leaved Semi-natural Woodland

This habitat type is shown in green on Figure 1. There are 3 areas of broad-leaved semi-natural woodland described and labelled BW1 to BW3 on the map. See Photographs 1 to 3.

**BW1** – There is a line of Hawthorn trees along the south eastern edge of the eastern field that leads into a wooded edge of a mix of Blackthorn, Pedunculate Oak, Hawthorn, Hazel, Grey Willow, Crack Willow, Dogwood, Elder, Dog Rose and accompanied by locally abundant Bramble, rare creeping Black Bryony and rare Field Rose. Crack Willow and Grey Willow are locally abundant along the northern edge of the eastern field.

This habitat has been included in the woodland as it represents a line of mature and maturing trees, formed from a derelict hedge. Therefore the NVC community is hard to define.

**BW2** – The stream either side of the fields is lined by trees such as Alder, planted Weeping Willow and Crack Willow.

The NVC classification for this linear line of woodland is probably best described as a W6 *Alnus glutinosa* – *Urtica dioica* wet woodland.

**Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site**

**BW3** – There are some sub-mature regularly planted Pedunculate Oak trees along the north and west edge of the western field. On the northern edge of the site there is some Hawthorn, Blackthorn, Hazel, Bramble scrub on the edge of the site accompanied by frequent Common Nettle, occasional Wood Dock, Hogweed, Herb Bennet, Herb Robert, Ash saplings and rare Broad-leaved Helleborine and Spear Thistle.

Under the Pedunculate Oak trees in the west there are also some Silver Birch and Goat Willow. It is generally grassy, Yorkshire-fog, Rough Meadow-grass, Creeping Bent and Perennial Rye-grass with tall ruderal weedy species such as Common Nettle, Creeping Buttercup, Hedge Bindweed, Himalayan Balsam, Self-heal, Broad-leaved Dock, Bramble and Great Willowherb. There are locally frequent patches of Red Clover, Cat’s-ear, Toad Rush and Perforate St. John’s-wort.

Whilst this woodland has been planted up prior to the first survey of 1995, it broadly conforms to a W10 *Quercus robur* – *Pteridium aquilinum* – *Rubus fruticosus* woodland.



**Photograph 1: BW1**



**Photograph 2: BW2**

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site



Photograph 3: BW3

### Broad-leaved Scattered Trees

This tree is shown as a green dot on Figure 1 and see Photograph 4. There is one open grown mature (potential veteran) on the western edge of the western field. From the aerial photograph it appears to be contiguous with the woodland.



Photograph 4: Open-grown Pedunculate Oak

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

### Semi-improved Neutral Grassland

This habitat type is shown in orange, there are two fields labelled SNG1 and SNG2 on Figure 1. See Photographs 5 and 6.

#### **SNG1 - Eastern Field**

This pony grazed field is broadly isosceles shaped and edged by woodland. The overall look of the field is one of frequent Common Fleabane along with Broad-leaved Dock at this time of year as it is prominent above the grasses of frequent Rough Meadow-grass and Yorkshire-fog with occasional Cock's-foot. Towards the ground are other herbs such as frequent Silverweed, Creeping Buttercup, White Clover, Red Clover and Ribwort Plantain and less commonly Red Bartsia, Hairy Sedge, Hoary Ragwort, Clustered Dock and Self-heal.

In the north east corner the mix of herbs would suggest that it becomes damp in the winter as there is frequent Creeping Buttercup, Water Mint, Common Fleabane and Greater Bird's-foot Trefoil.

Along the north edge and again there is evidence of seasonally waterlogged soils with frequent Water Mint, Common Fleabane, Creeping Buttercup, Great Willowherb, Hemlock Water-dropwort and Hairy Sedge as well as locally frequent Redshank, Silverweed and Marsh Foxtail. Marsh Cudweed is locally dominant, producing grey-green tufted patches.

In the north west corner there is also a large population of the VC Scarce/ERL Least Concern (see Higher Plant List) Blue Water-speedwell, with dense clumps of Himalayan Balsam, Hemp Agrimony, Common Comfrey, Water Mint, Common Fleabane, Perforate St. John's-wort, Red Bartsia, Creeping Buttercup and rare Common Figwort, Common Nettle, Wild Teasel and Brooklime.

The field edges (east and north) merge into the woodland edge creating in places an intimate mix of grassland/tall ruderal vegetation and scrub, but for the purposes of mapping has been included in the grassland section. Vegetation along the eastern edge for example includes patches of Water Mint, Great Willowherb, Common Nettle, Bramble and rare Rough Chervil, Common Knapweed and Himalayan Balsam.

Towards the southern end of the field, where the ponies tend to spend most time, the ground is sparse tending towards trample resistant species including abundant Broad-leaved Dock, frequent Silverweed, Ribwort Plantain, Greater Plantain, Common Fleabane, Creeping Buttercup, with occasional Redshank, Knotgrass and White Clover and rare Red Bartsia.

#### **SNG2 - Western Field**

This square shaped field is grazed, although not at the time of the visit. It is broadly similar to the aforementioned field in composition. Yorkshire-fog is frequent along with Common Bent, Common Fleabane, Creeping Buttercup and White Clover. Occasional in the sward are Self-heal, Ribwort Plantain, Red Bartsia, Common Bird's-foot Trefoil, Meadow Buttercup, Daisy and rare Curled Dock, Oxeye Daisy and Perforate St. John's-wort.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Slight waterlogging and flooding from the stream has enriched the eastern edge next to the water and here there is a flush of locally frequent Water Mint, Creeping Buttercup with occasional Hoary Ragwort, Hairy Sedge, Greater Bird's-foot Trefoil, Self-heal and Common Fleabane.

There is a fence line that has sectioned off the south eastern side of the field to prevent grazing, the resulting sward is a little more diverse and here frequent Crested Dog's-tail joins the grasses with locally abundant Sharp Flowered Rush as well as plenty of Rough Meadow-grass and Common Bent. As well as the aforementioned herbs there is locally frequent Greater Bird's-foot Trefoil, Meadowsweet and rare Water Mint here.

The fields are probably best described as MG6 *Lolium perenne* – *Cynosurus cristatus* grassland. The damper areas being more closely associated with an MG10 *Holcus lanatus* – *Juncus effusus* rush pasture.



Photograph 5: SNG1



Photograph 6: SNG2

### Poor Semi-improved Grassland

This habitat type is shown in white and labelled SI on Figure 1, there is one area marked on the map. See Photograph 7.

This grassland is managed as a well mown lawn with Yorkshire-fog, Annual Meadow-grass, Common Mouse-ear, Dandelion, White Clover, Black Medick, Creeping Buttercup, Daisy and Smooth Hawk's-beard.



**Photograph 7: Improved Grassland**

*Tall Ruderal*

This habitat type is shown as terracotta diagonal lines on Figure 1. There is one area marked on the map, see Photograph 8.

Either side of this overgrown path in the south of the site is a scramble of tall ruderal species such as locally dominant Common Nettle, Yellow Loosestrife with frequent Cock’s-foot, Rough Meadow Grass, Yorkshire-fog and occasional Cut-leaved Crane’s-bill, Creeping Buttercup, Cleavers and Smooth Hawk’s-beard. On the western edge towards the stream are locally abundant Common Comfrey, Meadowsweet, Himalayan Balsam, Hemlock Water-dropwort and occasional Water Mint with some young Hybrid Black Poplar saplings.

Towards the northern end of this section of tall ruderal species there is a gate, where people come in off the track to follow the public footpath, the ruderal species a little more sparse and shorter and include locally frequent Pineappleweed, Cut-leaved Crane’s-bill, Perennial Rye-grass, Cleavers, Ribwort Plantain, Greater Plantain, Hedge Mustard, Common Bent and Creeping Cinquefoil.

The tall ruderal weeds continue along the footpath (not mapped to aid visual representation of the main blocks of habitats). Species such as locally frequent Common Nettle, Cock’s-foot, False Oat-grass, Creeping Buttercup, Bramble, Cleavers, Meadowsweet and Perforate St. John’s-wort.

The diverse patches of tall ruderal vegetation have not been assigned an NVC classification.



**Photograph 8: Tall Ruderal Vegetation**

Standing Water

This pond is shown in blue on Figure 1.

Within the grounds of the house there is a large duck pond with two islands. There is a good deal of scrub and marginal vegetation, a mix of planted and native species. Dogwood, Common Comfrey, Meadowsweet, Montbretia, Common Nettle, Hemlock Water Dropwort, Willow sp., Hedge Bindweed, Creeping Buttercup, Hedge Woundwort, Water Figwort, Branched Bur-reed, Water Mint, Greater Celandine, Pendulous Sedge, Yellow Iris, Bittersweet, Common Reed, Reedmace, Grey Willow, Floating Sweet-grass and Hard Rush. On the island there is a Weeping Willow with Fuchsia and a rose species, the other also has a Weeping Willow with Great Willowherb, Hemlock Water Dropwort, Yellow Iris and Hemp Agrimony. There is little floating or emergent vegetation, probably due to the duck population.

The mix of native and non-native plants here make it difficult to assign an NVC classification.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site



**Photograph 8: Pond**

### Running Water

The stream is shown as a blue line on Figure 1.

The stream runs down the centre of the site running south to north between two fields. At the northern end it goes through a culvert and under the railway. It is heavily shaded with tall garden planted trees in the southern half of this site, and with native trees shading the water in the northern half by the field. However, there are opportunities in the north for there to be enough light to allow marginal and emergent vegetation such as frequent Hemlock Water-dropwort, Creeping Buttercup, Hemp Agrimony and locally dominant Common Nettle. There are generally more species towards the northern end of the stream with occasional Water Mint, Brooklime and Fool's Water-cress on the water's edge. Close to the bridge there is a cluster of tall marginal vegetation such as locally abundant Himalayan Balsam and Hemp Agrimony with occasional Common Fleabane, Water Figwort and Meadowsweet. Here one plant of Ragged-Robin (ERL Near Threatened) was recorded.

No firm conclusion on the NVC of this habitat type was made, it is likely that it would partly still be classed as S14 *Spharganium erectum* swamp as per the 1995 survey.



Photograph 9: Stream

#### Introduced Shrubs

This habitat type is shown as terracotta cross-hatching on Figure 1. This refers to the garden planted shrubs found around the edge of the pond and on the island, see Standing Water section.

#### Native Species-rich Hedge with Trees

This habitat type is shown as a straight green line with splayed green dashes on Figure 1. There is one area of this type of hedge on the site.

The planted hedge separating the garden from the western field is composed of Hazel, Cherry Laurel, Bridlewort, Dogwood, Pedunculate Oak, Bramble, Field Maple, Silver Birch, Alder and Blackthorn.

#### Species-poor Hedge with Trees

This hedge is shown as a straight green line with cross hatching on Figure 1. There is one area of this type of hedge on the site.

The hedge abutting the garden in the south of the site is next to the stream and is mainly composed of Leyland Cypress trees and Cherry Laurel.

#### Building

This pony shed in the south east corner of the eastern field is represented by a black square on Figure 1.

### **Other non-plant species**

Several butterflies were noted in the fields including Large White, Meadow Brown, Small White, Peacock, Comma, Speckled Wood Gatekeeper and Essex Skipper.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

A number of birds were seen or heard particularly on the woodland edges such as Magpie, Goldfinch and Jay. A Coot was heard and then seen on the pond.

### Does the site still support the features for which it was selected?

The site still supports the semi-improved mesotrophic grassland and stream which still supports locally rare plant species. An Ecological Appraisal carried out by ACD Environmental (ACD, 2016) reports signs of Water Voles (runs and a latrine) in 2016. No signs of Water Vole were found during this survey carried out by Surrey Wildlife Trust (SWT). In addition SWT Water Vole surveys north and south of Little Flexford in 2016 have also yielded no Water Vole signs.

### Does the site meet the SNCI selection guidelines as revised in 2008?

The site meets the SNCI selection guidelines under the following criteria:

**4b) Neutral Grassland** – Grassland sites, which support a high diversity of species typical of grassland of conservation interest in Surrey. As a guideline, sites which supports 15 or more of the species listed in Table 1 including at least 2 of the species in bold are likely to be of SNCI quality.

**24d) Vascular Plants** – Sites containing species identified by Surrey Botanical Society as rare and notable within Surrey.

A total of 18 Grassland Indicator species were recorded in the 2016 survey in including 3 in bold (see criteria, Gibbs 2008). They are:

Water Plantain	<b>Ragged-Robin</b> (also ERL Near Threatened)
Marsh Foxtail	<b>Creeping-Jenny</b>
Wild Angelica	Red Bartsia
False Fox Sedge	Celery-leaved Buttercup
Meadowsweet	Common Sorrel
Trailing St. John's-wort	Marsh Ragwort
Sharp Flowered Rush	Lesser Stitchwort
Meadow Vetchling	<b>Blue Water Speedwell</b> (also ERL Least Concern/VC17 Scarce)
Oxeye Daisy	
Common Bird's-foot Trefoil	
Greater Bird's-foot Trefoil	

Giles Groome, during his SNCI survey in 1995, also recorded the following additional 5 species, three of which are in bold and all of which are on the ERL list:

**Nodding Bur-marigold** (also ERL Least Concern)  
**Plicate Sweet-grass** (also ERL Least Concern)  
**Pepper-saxifrage** (also ERL Least Concern)

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Corn Mint (also ERL Near Threatened)  
 Lesser Spearwort (also ERL Vulnerable)

The site *may* meet the SNCI selection guidelines under the following criteria:

**Additional Information** – The survey area falls within an area highlighted within the Water Vole Alert Map 2010 ‘*indicating areas with at least some potentially suitable habitat within water vole dispersal distance of population within the last five years*’. In addition the survey areas also falls within a Water Vole Local Key Area ‘*indicating areas  $\geq 6\text{km}^2$  that area likely to support larger populations/clusters of populations that have a greater chance of persisting long term*’.

During this SNCI survey by SWT, the ecologist did not find any evidence of Water Voles and at the time concluded that the banks are not steep enough, with soft sediment and that the heavy vegetation renders the stream too shaded to support Water Voles. In addition SWT Water Vole surveys in 2016, both up and down stream, have not yielded any results.

However, ACD Environmental Ltd. report seeing a Water Vole latrine and runs during their Ecological Appraisal in 2016 (ACD, 2016). Therefore the following two criteria may have been reached for Water Voles, however the population numbers are unknown, and so we are unable to say if a viable population is present:

**11e) Rivers, Canals and Streams** – All stretches of river that support viable populations of Water Voles should be selected.

**17b) Mammals – Water Vole** – All sites known to support a viable population of Water Vole.

See appendices 1 and 2.

### **Site condition** (surveyor’s opinion) Unfavourable Declining

Whilst the reason for selection as an SNCI remains the same with regards to the criteria for the habitat and scarce plant population, the fields are being heavily poached during the winter. It is therefore likely that the continuing trend of increased coarse grasses and weedy plants will continue. Unfortunately this year Lesser Spearwort (ERL Least Concern) and Corn Mint (ERL Least Concern), Nodding Bur-marigold (ERL Least Concern), Plicate Sweet-grass (ERL Least Concern) and Pepper Saxifrage (ERL Least Concern), were not re-seen (having been recorded in the 1995 SNCI survey).

However this is somewhat countered by the new recording of Blue Water Speedwell (ERL Least Concern/VC17 Scarce) as well as Ragged-Robin (ERL

## **Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site**

Near Threatened) that are included in the list of 18 Grassland Indicator Species (see species list).

### **Additional information or surveys required**

No additional information or surveys are required to reassess the site's SNCI status.

### **Boundaries**

If Water Voles are still present then the boundary of the SNCI including a buffer zone around the stream which includes the tall ruderal species and species-poor hedges with trees and the pond, seems to be a sensible approach. Under these circumstances no boundary changes are recommended.

### **Signs of damage**

There are signs of over grazing in the winter leading to poaching as shown on the photographs provided by ACD Environmental Ltd (email 20<sup>th</sup> May 2016). Having said that, come the summer there were a good number of grassland indicators present and the poaching did not necessarily have a negative effect. However, the over poaching cannot be sustained as it will inevitably lead to the continued increase in coarse grasses and herbs such as Common Fleabane, thistles and docks.

There is a long established tall Leyland Cypress hedge along the garden edge of the stream. Due to the possible presence of Water Voles, consideration should go to its removal. This would open up the stream, allow emergent and marginal vegetation to grow in the stream and provide a more optimum habitat for the Water Vole. In addition, ideally the pond would be managed for conservation and Water Voles. This would include the removal of the fish. However, it is acknowledged that this is a garden pond and this is probably not desirable by the owners.

### **Current site management**

The grassland is currently pony grazed. The stream is left unmanaged. The pond has fish and wildfowl present and other than trimming back of shrubs is left.

### **Management advice**

#### Woodland

In order to maximise the biodiversity of woodland, it is important to create a diverse structure. In the case of this linear woodland edge this includes a canopy of mature trees, a shrub layer and a diverse scrubby ecotone edge to the fields. Trees should be of a range of ages and species.

## Guildford Borough SSCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

The presence of deadwood within woodland is very important for a range of species, particularly fungi, invertebrates and mammals such as bats. A mixture of standing and fallen deadwood is important as they provide different conditions and support different species. Only fell dead trees where necessary for health and safety reasons and if possible only remove the dangerous branches rather than the whole tree.

Sycamore can be a problem in woodlands as it comes into leaf early, shading spring-flowering plants. Its leaf litter rots slowly and does not provide a good environment for ground flora. In addition it supports a lower diversity of insects than native trees. Consider thinning this species if it is becoming abundant (also see invasive species below).

When carrying out work in woodlands it is best to avoid the bird nesting season (March-August) and to avoid carrying out work when the ground is particularly soft to avoid damaging the soil. Protection from deer or rabbits may be necessary particularly of recently cut coppice stools. Wherever possible avoid planting as natural regeneration of woodland is most beneficial to the local ecology.

### Wet woodland

Wet woodland is often found by streams and other water bodies and is normally dominated by Alder and Willow species. It often supports an interesting but fragile ground flora and invertebrate assemblage.

This type of woodland is often best left undisturbed as management work can easily damage their fragile soils. Natural processes will lead to trees reaching maturity and dying naturally thus creating gaps in the canopy. However, if coppicing has been a feature of the historical management of the woodland, this should be continued provided that it does not damage the ground too much.

### Scrub

Scrub is often a component of other habitats and is often viewed as a negative element that needs to be controlled. However it supports a wide range of species and the transitional zone between scrub and other habitats is particularly important for many species including invertebrates, breeding birds and reptiles.

When managing scrub, it is important to maintain structural diversity and a range of age classes. Rotational cutting can help achieve this. Cutting the scrub back in some places can create a mosaic of scrub and grassland. Grazing also has a similar effect as long as the grazing pressure is not too high.

### Wet Neutral Grassland

Neutral grassland requires active management in order to retain its conservation interest. Without management, tall vigorous grasses will dominate and dead plant matter will accumulate. This will suppress the less vigorous species and the botanical diversity of the grassland will decrease. The key to managing grasslands is to remove each year's growth of vegetation. This can be achieved

## **Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site**

by grazing (as in traditional pastureland), cutting or a combination of the two (as in traditional hay meadows).

When grazing, aim to keep a relatively open sward without excessive poaching. The timing of grazing of pastures will depend on local conditions and the needs of particular species on the site. Light trampling is good as it provides bare areas for seed germination. Ideally aim for grass height of 5cm during the summer months and around 2cm for the winter.

### Ponds

Without active management, natural succession will cause a build up of plants and silt within ponds. This will reduce the water depth and cause a build-up of nutrients. Eventually the pond will dry-up and become a muddy hollow. If there are a number of ponds on the site it may be desirable to let natural succession take its course as this late successional habitat will support a range of unique species. A new pond should be created on the site to replace the old one.

If the pond is to be maintained, periodic silt and plant removal will be required. It is important that only a section of the pond is worked on at a time to prevent causing too much disturbance to the pond life.

Non-native invasive species such as New Zealand Pigmy-weed and Parrot's-feather can be a particular problem in ponds. These species should be removed as soon as possible if seen in the pond. Some native species such as Duckweed can also become a problem in ponds particularly if there is a high nutrient input. The input of silt and nutrients to the pond should be limited as much as possible.

Fish can be detrimental to pond wildlife, particularly amphibians and invertebrates. Bottom feeding coarse fish are particularly detrimental as they can uproot plants and disturb sediment. Avoid introducing fish to ponds where possible.

Surrounding trees may need to be cut back or coppiced occasionally so that the pond does not become too shaded and congested with leaf litter. Too much vegetation will inhibit floating and emergent vegetation and its associated invertebrate fauna.

### Invasive species Himalayan Balsam

This species should be controlled as it is very invasive and will reduce species diversity. It is important that it does not spread further particularly into the ditches on the site. This species will require on-going control by pulling or cutting annually before it sets seed. The species is listed on Schedule 9 the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, which means that it is an offence to plant or cause the species to spread in the wild. Care should be taken if moving the species off site for disposal.

### Cherry Laurel

If left unmanaged this species can easily spread and will subsequently become even more difficult to eradicate. Its tendency to form dense stands prevents

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

natural regeneration of the canopy, understorey and field layer severely degrading both the economic and biodiversity value of the site. Ideally the Cherry Laurel hedges would be gradually removed and replaced with suitable native local provenance hedge species such as Dogwood, Dog Rose, Hawthorn, Holly, Hazel, Blackthorn and Field Maple.

### Potential grant aid

No grants are currently being claimed.

### References / Bibliography

ACD Environmental (2016) *Ecological Appraisal*.

Gibbs, C (2008) *Guidance for the Selection of Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCIs) in Surrey*. Surrey Wildlife Trust.

JNCC (2010) *Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey: A technique for environmental audit*. JNCC, Peterborough

Plantlife (2010) *Plantlife, Here today, here tomorrow? Horizon scanning for invasive non-native plants*, Plantlife.

Ratcliffe, D (1977) *A Nature Conservation Review*. Cambridge University Press.

Rodwell J. S. (Ed) (1991) *British Plant Communities Volume 1 Woodlands and Scrub*. Cambridge University Press.

Rodwell J. S. (Ed) (1992) *British Plant Communities Volume 3 Grasslands and montane communities*. Cambridge University Press.

Rodwell J. S. (Ed) (1995) *British Plant Communities Volume 4 Aquatic communities, swamps and tall-herb fens*. Cambridge University Press.

Rodwell J. S. (Ed) (2000) *British Plant Communities Volume 5 Maritime communities and vegetation of open habitats*. Cambridge University Press.

Sankey, A. ED, (2016) *Surrey Rare Plant Register*. In Preparation.

Surrey Wildlife Trust (2012) *Surrey non-native invasive species list*.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

### Higher plant list

Abundance uses the DAFOR system; (Locally)Dominant, Abundant, Frequent, Occasional, Rare

[Please note that plants ranked as 'rare' means that they were not found often over this site and does not necessarily indicate that they are a County rarity]:

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Acer campestre</i>	Field Maple	R		✓		
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	Sycamore	R				✓SWT
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	Yarrow	R				
<i>Aegopodium podagraria</i>	Ground-elder	R				
<i>Agrostis capillaris</i>	Common Bent	LF				
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	Creeping Bent	LF				
<i>Alisma plantago-aquatica</i>	Water-plantain	R	✓			
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	Alder	R				
<i>Alopecurus geniculatus</i>	Marsh Foxtail	R	✓			
<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>	Meadow Foxtail	R				
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	Scarlet Pimpernel	R				
<i>Angelica sylvestris</i>	Wild Angelica	R	✓			
<i>Anisantha sterilis</i>	Barren Brome	R				
<i>Anthriscus sylvestris</i>	Cow Parsley	R				
<i>Apium nodiflorum</i>	Fool's Water-cress	LF				
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	False Oat-grass	R				
<i>Arum maculatum</i>	Lords-and-ladies	R				
<i>Bellis perennis</i>	Daisy	R				

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Betula pendula</i>	Silver Birch	R				
<i>Bromus hordeaceus ssp. hordeaceus</i>	Soft-brome	R				
<i>Calystegia sepium</i>	Hedge Bindweed	R				
<i>Carex hirta</i>	Hairy Sedge	LF				
<i>Carex otrubae</i>	False Fox-sedge	R	✓			
<i>Carex pendula</i>	Pendulous Sedge	R		✓		
<i>Centaurea nigra</i>	Common Knapweed	R				
<i>Cerastium fontanum ssp. Vulgare</i>	Common Mouse-ear	O				
<i>Chaerophyllum temulum</i>	Rough Chervil	R				
<i>Chelidonium majus</i>	Greater Celandine	R				
<i>Chenopodium polyspermum</i>	Many-seeded Goosefoot	R				
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Creeping Thistle	LF				
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	Spear Thistle	R				
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Field Bindweed	R				
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	Dogwood	R				
<i>Coronopus didymus</i>	Lesser Swine-cress	R				
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	Hawthorn	LA				
<i>Crepis capillaris</i>	Smooth Hawk's-beard	R				
<i>Crocsmia x crocosmiiflora</i>	Montbretia	R				✓ Plantlife Critical / SWT
<i>Cynosurus cristatus</i>	Crested Dog's-tail	LF				
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Cock's-foot	LF				
<i>Dipsacus fullonum</i>	Wild Teasel	R				
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas agg.</i>	Male Fern	R				
<i>Elytrigia repens</i>	Common Couch	R				
<i>Epilobium ciliatum</i>	American Willowherb	R				
<i>Epilobium hirsutum</i>	Great Willowherb	R				

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Epilobium montanum</i>	Broad-leaved Willowherb	R				
<i>Epilobium parviflorum</i>	Hoary Willowherb	R				
<i>Epipactis helleborine</i>	Broad-leaved Helleborine	R		✓		
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	Field Horsetail	R				
<i>Eupatorium cannabinum</i>	Hemp-agrimony	LF				
<i>Festuca rubra sens.str.</i>	Red Fescue	R				
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	Meadowsweet	LF	✓			
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	Ash	R				
<i>Fuchsia magellanica</i>	Fuchsia	R				
<i>Galium aparine</i>	Cleavers	LA				
<i>Geranium dissectum</i>	Cut-leaved Crane's-bill	R				
<i>Geranium robertianum</i>	Herb-robert	R				
<i>Geum urbanum</i>	Herb Bennet	R				
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	Ground-ivy	LF				
<i>Glyceria fluitans</i>	Floating Sweet-grass	R				
<i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i>	Marsh Cudweed	LD				
<i>Hedera helix ssp. helix</i>	Common Ivy	LF				
<i>Heracleum sphondylium ssp. sphondylium</i>	Hogweed	R				
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Yorkshire-fog	O				
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Hop	R				
<i>Hypericum calycinum</i>	Rose-of-Sharon	R				
<i>Hypericum humifusum</i>	Trailing St. John's-wort	R	✓			
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	Perforate St. John's-wort	R				
<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	Cat's-ear	R				
<i>Impatiens glandulifera</i>	Himalayan Balsam	LF				✓ Schedule 9 / SWT
<i>Iris pseudacorus</i>	Yellow Iris	R				
<i>Juncus acutiflorus</i>	Sharp-flowered Rush	LF	✓			

## Guildford Borough SSCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Juncus bufonius</i>	Toad Rush	R				
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	Soft Rush	R				
<i>Juncus inflexus</i>	Hard Rush	R				
<i>Lamium album</i>	White Dead-nettle	R				
<i>Lapsana communis ssp. communis</i>	Nipplewort	R				
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i>	Meadow Vetchling	R	✓			
<i>Lemna minor</i>	Common Duckweed	R				
<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i>	Oxeye Daisy	R	✓			
<i>Lolium perenne</i>	Perennial Rye-grass	O				
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	Common Bird's-foot Trefoil	R	✓			
<i>Lotus pedunculatus</i>	Greater Bird's-foot-trefoil	LF	✓			
<b><i>Lychnis flos-cuculi</i></b>	<b>Ragged Robin</b>	R	✓		✓ ERL Near Threatened	
<b><i>Lysimachia nummularia</i></b>	<b>Creeping-Jenny</b>	R	✓			
<i>Lysimachia punctata</i>	Dotted Loosestrife	R				
<i>Malus domestica</i>	Apple	R				
<i>Malva sylvestris</i>	Common Mallow	R				
<i>Matricaria discoidea</i>	Pineappleweed	R				
<i>Medicago lupulina</i>	Black Medick	R				
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Water Mint	LF				
<i>Myosotis arvensis</i>	Field Forget-me-not	R				
<i>Myosotis scorpioides</i>	Water Forget-me-not	R				
<i>Odontites vernus</i>	Red Bartsia	LF	✓			
<i>Oenanthe crocata</i>	Hemlock Water-dropwort	R				
<i>Pericaria maculosa</i>	Redshank	R				
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed Canary-grass	R				
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Scots Pine	R				
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Ribwort Plantain	R				

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Plantago major</i>	Greater Plantain	R				
<i>Poa annua</i>	Annual Meadow-grass	R				
<i>Poa trivialis</i>	Rough Meadow-grass	O				
<i>Polygonum aviculare agg.</i>	Knotgrass	R				
<i>Polypodium vulgare agg.</i>	Polypody	R		✓		
<i>Populus x canadensis</i>	Hybrid Black Poplar	R				
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	Silverweed	LF				
<i>Potentilla reptans</i>	Creeping Cinquefoil	R				
<i>Prunus avium</i>	Wild Cherry	R		✓		
<i>Prunus spinosa</i>	Blackthorn	LF				
<i>Pulicaria dysenterica</i>	Common Fleabane	F				
<i>Quercus robur</i>	Pedunculate Oak	LF				
<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	Meadow Buttercup	LF				
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	Creeping Buttercup	O				
<i>Ranunculus sceleratus</i>	Celery-leaved Buttercup	R	✓			
<i>Rosa arvensis</i>	Field Rose	R		✓		
<i>Rosa canina agg.</i>	Dog Rose	R				
<i>Rubus fruticosus agg.</i>	Bramble	LF				
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	Common Sorrel	R	✓			
<i>Rumex conglomeratus</i>	Clustered Dock	R				
<i>Rumex crispus ssp. crispus</i>	Curled dock	R				
<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i>	Broad-leaved Dock	O				
<i>Rumex sanguineus var. viridis</i>	Wood Dock	R				
<i>Sagina procumbens</i>	Procumbent Pearlwort	R				
<i>Salix alba</i>	White Willow	R				
<i>Salix babylonica</i>	Weeping Willow	R				
<i>Salix caprea</i>	Goat Willow	R				
<i>Salix cinerea ssp. oleifolia</i>	Rusty Willow	R				
<i>Salix fragilis</i>	Crack Willow	LF				

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Salix sp.</i>	a willow	R				
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	Elder	R				
<i>Sasa sp.</i>	a bamboo	R				Some species are on the Plantlife list
<i>Scrophularia auriculata</i>	Water Figwort	LF				
<i>Senecio aquaticus</i>	Marsh Ragwort	R	✓			
<i>Senecio erucifolius</i>	Hoary Ragwort	LF				
<i>Sison amomum</i>	Stone Parsley	R				
<i>Sisymbrium officinale</i>	Hedge Mustard	R				
<i>Solanum dulcamara</i>	Bittersweet	R				
<i>Sonchus asper</i>	Prickly Sow-thistle	R				
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	Smooth Sow-thistle	R				
<i>Sparganium erectum</i>	Branched Bur-reed	R				
<i>Spiraea sp.</i>	a bridlemint	R				Some species are on the Plantlife list
<i>Stachys sylvatica</i>	Hedge Woundwort	R				
<i>Stellaria graminea</i>	Lesser Stitchwort	R	✓			
<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>	Snowberry	R				✓ Plantlife Urgent / SWT
<i>Symphytum officinale</i>	Common Comfrey	R				
<i>Tamus communis</i>	Black Bryony	R		✓		
<i>Taraxacum sp.</i>	a Dandelion	R				
<i>Torilis japonica</i>	Upright Hedge-parsley	R				
<i>Trifolium dubium</i>	Lesser Trefoil	R				
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	Red Clover	LF				
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	White Clover	O				
<i>Typha latifolia</i>	Bulrush	R				

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	DAFOR	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	****Invasive species
<i>Ulmus procera</i>	English Elm	R				
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	Common Nettle	F				
<b><i>Veronica anagallis-aquatica</i></b>	<b>Blue Water-speedwell</b>	LF	✓		✓ ERL Least Concern/VC17 Scarce	
<i>Veronica beccabunga</i>	Brooklime	R				
<i>Veronica serpyllifolia</i>	Thyme-leaved Speedwell	R				
<i>Vicia cracca</i>	Tufted Vetch	R				
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	Common Vetch	R				
<i>Vinca major</i>	Greater Periwinkle	R				
<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>	Squirrel-tail Fescue	R				
<i>x Cupressocyparis leylandii</i>	Leyland Cypress	R				
<b>Total</b>		<b>161</b>	<b>18 (inc. 3 bold)</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>

## Additional species of interest recorded on the site in previous surveys

Scientific Name	Common Name	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	Date recorded	Recorder
<b><i>Bidens cernua</i></b>	<b>Nodding Bur-marigold</b>	✓		✓ ERL Least Concern	04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<i>Bromopsis ramosa</i>	Hairy Brome		✓			Giles Groome
<b><i>Glyceria notata</i></b>	<b>Plicate Sweet-grass</b>	✓		✓ ERL Least Concern	04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	Holly		✓		04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	Corn Mint			✓ ERL Near Threatened	04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<i>Phleum pratense</i>	Timothy	✓			04/09/1995	Giles Groome

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Scientific Name	Common Name	*Indicator Species	**Ancient Woodland Indicators	***County Notable	Date recorded	Recorder
<i>Ranunculus flammula</i>	Lesser Spearwort			✓ERL Vulnerable	04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<i>Schedonorus arundinaceus</i>	Tall Fescue		✓		04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<b><i>Silaum silaus</i></b>	<b>Pepper-saxifrage</b>	✓		✓ ERL Least Concern	04/09/1995	Giles Groome
<b>Total</b>		<b>4 (inc 3 in bold)</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>		

\*Species typical of grassland of conservation interest in Surrey (as listed in Guidance for the Selection of SNCIs in Surrey, May 2008). Those in bold are those that are also in bold in Table 1 of the SNCI Guidelines.

\*\*Ancient Woodland Indicator species (as listed for the Nature Conservancy Council South-East Region, see Rose 2006)

\*\*\*Species that are Rare, Scarce or of Conservation Interest in Surrey (as listed on the Draft Surrey Botanical Society County Rare Plant Register Sep 2011).

\*\*\*\*Invasive species;

- Schedule 9: Species as listed on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981, as amended),
- Plantlife, 2010: Species identified as Critical, Urgent or Moderate risk within Horizon Scanning for Invasive non-native plants (Plantlife, 2010),
- SWT, 2012: Species listed within Surrey Non-native Invasive Species List (SWT, 2012).

## Guildford Borough SSCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

### Appendix 1: Habitat guidelines

SSCI selection Habitat Guidelines (Gibbs, 2008), summary of results and rationale with the rationale based upon the findings of the survey.

Habitat	Notes	Result
<b>1) Woodland</b>		
a) All sites containing over 5ha of ancient semi-natural woodland (ASNW).		None present.
b) Other ancient woodland including plantations on ancient woodland sites where there is a significant element of the original semi-natural woodland surviving.		None present.
c) Areas of woodland which are not themselves ancient but which are immediately adjacent to ancient woodland sites should also be considered for selection.		Not present.
d) Other semi-natural woodland comprising important community types of restricted distribution in the county. This will include;		
e) Wet woodland falling within NVC types; W1, W2, W4c, W5, W6 and W7	Very small linear woodland by stream perhaps best described as NVC W6.	Present in very small quantity. Part of the mosaic of habitat present, not the principle habitat.
f) Lowland Beech, Yew and Box Woodland falling within NVC types; W12, W13, W14 and W15		Not present.
g) Wealden gill woodland		Not present.
h) Sites supporting a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>2) Wood Pasture, Parkland and Veteran Trees</b>		
a) Wood pasture and parkland over which can demonstrate 3 or more of the features defined in the selection guidelines (Gibbs 2008) should be considered for selection.		Not present.

## Guildford Borough SSCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Habitat	Notes	Result
b) Groups of 3 or more ancient or veteran trees as defined in the selection guidelines (Gibbs 2008) within 0.25ha.	One open grown mature potential future veteran Pedunculate Oak tree present in western field.	Not present.
c) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>3) Traditional Orchards</b>		
a) Traditionally managed orchards of biodiversity value, as defined in the selection guidelines (Gibbs 2008).		Not present.
b) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>4) Neutral Grassland</b>		
a) All sites supporting the following NVC communities; MG4, MG5 or MG8.	Fields have been classed as MG6.	Not present.
b) Grassland sites which support a high (> / = 15, including 2 of the species in bold) diversity of species typical of grassland of conservation interest in Surrey.	The current survey recorded 18 indicator species including 3 species in bold. The 1995 survey provided a further 4 indicator species of which 2 are bold.	Present.
c) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>5) Acidic Grassland</b>		
a) All sites supporting the following NVC communities U1, U2, U3, U4 or U20a.		Not present.
b) Grassland sites which support a high (> / = 15, including 2 of the species in bold) diversity of species typical of grassland of conservation interest in Surrey.	See Neutral Grassland section.	Not present.
c) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>6) Calcareous Grassland</b>		

## Guildford Borough SSCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Habitat	Notes	Result
a) All sites supporting the following NVC communities: CG2, CG3, CG4, CG5, CG6 or CG7.		Not present.
b) Grassland sites which support a high (> / = 15, including 2 of the species in bold) diversity of species typical of grassland of conservation interest in Surrey.	See Neutral Grassland section above.	
c) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>7) Heathland</b>		
a) All areas of heathland vegetation; including matrices of dwarf shrub, bare ground, grassland, valley mires and scrub should be considered. Heathland over 2ha would automatically qualify.		Not present.
b) Areas of heathland which are heavily afforested or have succeeded to mature woodland with potential to be restored to heathland and either; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retain sufficient remnants of heathland or</li> <li>• are contiguous with, or form an integral part of an area of heathland.</li> </ul>		Not present.
c) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>8) Standing open water</b>		
a) Any lake classified by the UK Lakes HAP joint steering group as Tier 1 or Tier 2 where not already covered by other designations.		Not present.
b) Ponds that qualify under the criteria for UK BAP priority habitat.		Not present.
c) Water bodies or clusters of water bodies which support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>9) Wetlands</b>		
a) All reedbeds over 2ha.		Not present.
b) Reedbeds of between 0.25 and 2ha where they form part of a wider habitat mosaic.		Not present.
c) Fens, mires, swamps and marshes over 2ha with flora characteristic of the following NVC communities: M6, M21, M24, M25, M27, M29, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9, S10, S12, S13, S14, S15, S16, S19, S22, S23, S26, S27, S28.		Not present.
d) Fens, mires, swamps and marshes (NVC as above) of between 0.25 and 2ha where they form part of a wider habitat mosaic.		Not present.
e) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>10) Floodplain Grazing Marsh</b>		

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Habitat	Notes	Result
a) All floodplain grazing marsh over 5ha that is not designated as SSSI.		Not present.
b) Floodplain grazing marsh of less than 5ha where it forms part of a wider habitat mosaic of water-dependent habitats, or where it links SSSIs.		Not present.
c) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>11) Rivers, Canals and Streams</b>		
a) Chalk stream.		Not present.
b) River classed by the Environment Agency as Grade A within the GQA.		Not present.
c) River with a HMS of 0 (classified as “pristine” by RHS).		Not present.
d) River with a conservation evaluation of either “Critical” or “Important” within strategic RCS.		Not present.
e) River that supports viable populations or spawning sites of animals listed in guidelines.		Not present.
f) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2	
<b>12) Open Mosaic Habitats on Previously Developed Land</b>		
a) Open Mosaic habitat sites where; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6 or more of the characteristic features are found.</li> <li>• Form part of a wider complex of similar areas, providing long term habitat opportunity.</li> <li>• There is a significant invertebrate assemblage.</li> </ul>		Not present.
b) Sites that support a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidelines.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>13) Arable</b>		
a) Meets criteria for Important Arable Plant Areas and not covered by other designation.		Not present.
b) Supports a significant population of a species as discussed in the species guidance.	See Appendix 2.	
<b>14) Scrub Communities</b>		
<i>When selecting sites based on other habitats all areas of associated scrub should be identified and included within the SNCI boundary.</i>		
<b>15) Community and access</b>		
a) Sites that serve as Accessible Natural Greenspace within urban areas. <i>Sites that are close to but do not fully meet the habitat or species guidelines may be considered for selection if they meet this criteria.</i>	Privately owned land.	Not relevant.
<b>16) Geology and Geomorphology</b>		
RIGS regarded as the geological equivalent of SNCIs.		Not present.

## Appendix 2: Species guidelines

SNCI selection Species Guidelines (Gibbs, 2008), summary of results and rationale based upon survey

Species	Notes	Result
<b>17) Mammals</b>		
Sites with known populations of:		
a) Dormouse		Unknown.
b) Water Vole	2016 records of Water Vole from ACD Environmental Ltd. Latrines, runs and footprints were seen (email 20 <sup>th</sup> May 2016).	Present, population numbers and viability unknown.
c) Otter		Unlikely.
<b>18) Mammals – Bats</b>		
a) Contains a 'significant' bat breeding roost or hibernation site.		Unknown.
b) Any breeding roost or hibernation site used by multiple bat species concurrently.		Unknown.
c) Foraging sites with more than 5 species recorded or used by Annex II species.		Unlikely.
<b>19) Amphibians</b>		
a) Supports populations of Natterjack Toad.		Unknown, not likely.
b) Regularly record 'good' or 'exceptional' breeding populations of Great Crested Newt.	Potential for GCN, suitable terrestrial habitat is present. Pond contains fish therefore less likely.	Unknown.
c) Supports populations of four or more native amphibian species.	Pond contains fish therefore unlikely.	Unlikely, but unknown.
d) 'Exceptional' populations of any amphibian species.	Pond contains fish therefore unlikely, potential terrestrial habitat around.	Unlikely, but unknown.
e) 'Good' populations of 3 or more native amphibian species.	Pond contains fish therefore unlikely, potential terrestrial habitat around.	Unlikely, but unknown.
<b>20) Reptiles</b>		
a) Supports populations of Smooth Snake or Sand Lizard.		Unlikely.
b) Populations of 3 or more native reptile species.		Unlikely.
c) 'Exceptional' population of a single reptile species or a 'good' population of Adders		Unlikely.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Species	Notes	Result
d) Assemblage of species scoring at least 4 points.		Unlikely.
<b>21) Birds</b>		
a) Holds more than 10% of Surrey's breeding or wintering population of a species.		Very unlikely.
b) Supports species on the conservation concern list for Surrey categories 1-3.		Very unlikely.
c) Supports a breeding bird assemblage or wintering waterbird assemblage of county importance.	Habitat not present in sufficient area.	Very unlikely.
<b>22) Invertebrates</b>		
a) Supports one or more nationally rare or declining species as listed in the latest national Red Data Books or lists.		Unknown.
b) Supports an important assemblage or population(s) of a BAP priority species.		Unknown.
c) Supports an important assemblage or population(s) of a nationally scarce species.		Unknown.
<b>23) Additional Invertebrate Guidelines</b>		
a) Meet the British Dragonfly Society criteria for Key Dragonfly Sites		Unknown, but unlikely.
b) Supports a butterfly species within list A.		Unknown, but unlikely.
c) Supports a locally notable population of four or more of the butterfly species within list B.		Unknown, but unlikely.
d) Sites with a Species Quality Score of greater than 4 for Wasps, bees & Ants.		Unknown, but unlikely.
<b>24) Vascular Plants</b>		
a) Supports one or more species included in the latest national Red Data List for plants.	No RDL plants recorded.	Very unlikely.
b) Supports an important assemblage or population(s) of a UK BAP priority species.	No UK BAP Priority species recorded.	Very unlikely.
c) Supports a nationally scarce species.	2 ERL Least Concern recorded in 2016, 1 ERL Near Threatened & 1 ERL Vulnerable recorded in 1995.	Present.
d) Supports species identified by Surrey Botanical Society as rare and notable within Surrey.	See above.	Present.
e) Sites selected as Important Plant Areas by Plantlife.		Not selected.
<b>25) Lower Plants &amp; Fungi</b>		
a) Supports one or more nationally rare or declining species as listed in the national Red Data Books.		Unlikely, but unknown.
b) Supports an important assemblage or population(s) of UK BAP priority species.		Unlikely, but unknown.
c) Supports a nationally scarce species.		Unknown.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Species	Notes	Result
d) Sites classified as Important Fungus Areas by Plantlife.		Not classified.
e) Sites classified as Important Stonewort Areas by Plantlife.		Not classified.

### Appendix 3: General guidelines

Sites that are close to, but do not quite meet the detailed habitat and species guidelines later in the report may be considered for selection where they are judged as important using the general guidelines below.

These general guidelines are based upon the Ratcliffe Criteria (1977), for assessing the nature conservation value of a proposed SNCI with the rationale based upon the findings of the survey.

<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Analysis of site against criteria</b>
<b>Rarity</b>	No rare or scarce habitats were recorded.
<b>Diversity</b>	The site is considered to be botanically and structurally diverse.
<b>Size</b>	The site is 1.6 ha in size, which is relatively small.
<b>Naturalness</b>	Deliberately planted non-native species and accidentally introduced invasive plant species are present.
<b>Typicalness</b>	Horse grazed fields are fairly typical of the surrounding area. However the damp nature of the grassland, unusual sward composition, the stream and wooded edge is not typical.
<b>Fragility</b>	The grassland is relatively fragile and requires management. The stream is not managed but one pollution incident would dramatically reduce its biodiversity for that stretch. Ideally all streams should have a wide buffer zone.
<b>Replacability</b>	Whilst horse grazed fields are relatively common in this part of Surrey, the damp nature of the grassland, unusual sward composition, the stream and wooded edge does set it apart from other fields and therefore would be difficult to replace.
<b>Position in ecological unit / Connectivity with the landscape</b>	The site falls within a network of similar sites and the stream is connected to other similar habitats.
<b>Educational value and value for the appreciation of nature.</b>	This site is privately owned. There is a public footpath through the site, which does get used by local walkers and dog owners.
<b>Potential value</b>	Improving the management by reducing poaching, opening up the stream, and reducing the non-native and invasive species would enhance the overall biodiversity and ecological value of the site.

## Guildford Borough SNCI Surveys 2016: Existing Site

Selection Criteria	Analysis of site against criteria
<b>Recorded history and cultural associations</b>	It is thought that the first survey was in 1995. The site has been recently visited by the private owners own commissioned ecologists. Casual recording from the paths has also been made in 2013 and 2015.



© Crown copyright and database right 2015  
 Ordnance Survey Licence Number AL100031669.

C2890 Sept 2016

**Figure 1**  
**Little Flexford**  
**Phase I Survey Results**

