Service	Planning	Services			esponsibl		е	Riaan van Eeden / Peter Stevens				
Name of the activity to be assessedGuildford Town Centre Views Supplementary Planning Docum			•		g/scoping ate of ssessme		a c		nis a proposed new or existing vity? The SPD reflects new lance but drawing on Local Plan			
1. Briefly des purpose of th	rela	The SPD provides further guidance to the Guildford borough Local Plan ("the Local Plan") relating to important views to which new development will be required to have regard. This SPD is a material consideration in the determination of planning applications and appeals.										
2. Are there a objectives of	It provides guidance to planners, developers, designers and decision makers on how to manage change to key views with the aim of retaining the character of Guildford and what makes it special, including the ability to appreciate key heritage assets and to understand the relationship of Guildford with its landscape setting.											
3. Who is intended to benefit from this activity and in what way?				The SPD will benefit a range of parties including residents and visitors as it seeks to protect and enhance important views in relation to Guildford town centre. It will also benefit decision- makers and applicants in terms of the assessment and preparation of development applications respectively. The focus is on the management of views in the Guildford town centre.								
4. What outcoactivity?	omes are w	anted from this	Development outcomes that respect the character of Guildford and what makes it special, with a focus on important views.									
5. What factor from the out		ould contribute/detract	Failure to adequately consider the SPD and associated views management guidance in design of schemes.									
6. Who are th stakeholders relation to th	in	 Developers and thei Other stakeholders inclu Local residents and Parish councils Statutory organisation 	ıde – amen	ity gro	oups	activi	o implem y, and w nsible fo		Planning Services and decision-makers in relation to planning applications.			
		nat the activity <u>could</u> ct on racial groups?	Y	N					I			

What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	fur reg Sh Th diff und for	The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact on racial groups. The SPD provide further clarity to policies in the Local Plan in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. The Council consider that the impact of policies in the Local Plan as a whole should not had differential impact and in fact support achieving greater equity (as per the EqIA screening undertaken for the Local Plan). Beyond the Local Plan, no (further) differential impacts are foreseen as a result of this SPD in relation to different racial groups. No further opportuniti are identified to promote greater equity within the narrow scope of this SPD.								
9. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of gender?	Y	N								
What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	 provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. The Council consider that Policies in the Local Plan will have an impact upon everyone who lives in, works in, has business in, travels through or visits the borough and we expect this to impact on people of different genders equally (as per the EqIA screening undertaken for the Local Plan). No further opportunities are identified to promote greater gender equity within the 									
10. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on those who have a disability?	narrow scope of this SPD. Y N									

What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact on those who have a disability. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. Beyond the Local Plan and its policies and allocations, no (further) differential impacts are foreseen as a result of this SPD in relation to people with disabilities. No further opportunities are identified to promote greater equity considering those who have a disability within the narrow scope of this SPD.								
11. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of sexual orientation?	Y	N							
What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact on the grounds of sexual orientation. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been subject to its own EqIA) in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. This is for the equal benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above.								
12. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of age?	Y	N							
What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	pro rela as	ovides ation well a	D is not considered to have a differential impact on the grounds of age. The SPD s further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been subject to its own EqIA) in to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. This is for al benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above.						
13. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on grounds of religious belief?	Y	N							

What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	SP Eq Ce Th ma Gu	The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact on the grounds of religious belief. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been subject to its own EqIA) in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. This is for the equal benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above. The SPD does seek to hanage the impact of development on views of visually prominent religious buildings (e.g. the Guildford cathedral on Stag Hill) that may be architecturally and culturally significant heritage ssets within identified important views.							
14. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact on those who have caring responsibilities?	Y	N							
What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	res sul wit	The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact on those who have caring responsibilities. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been subject to its own EqIA) in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. This is for the equal benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above.							
15. Are there concerns that the activity could have a differential impact on grounds of marital status or civil partnership?	Y	N							
What existing evidence, local or national, actual or presumed, do you have to support your response?	pa sul wit	rtners oject hin G	D is not considered to have a differential impact on the grounds of marital status or civil ship. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been to its own EqIA) in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration wilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Environment. This is for the equal benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above.						
16. Are there concerns that the activity <u>could</u> have a differential impact due a woman's pregnancy or maternity?	Y	N							

What existing evidence, local or nat or presumed, do you have to suppo response?			The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact due to a woman's pregnancy or maternity. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been subject to its own EqIA) in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. This is for the equal benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above.							
17. Are there concerns that the active have a differential impact due to ger reassignment?		<u>blu</u>	YN							
What existing evidence, local or nat or presumed, do you have to suppo response?			The SPD is not considered to have a differential impact due to gender reassignment. The SPD provides further clarity to policies in the Local Plan (which has been subject to its own EqIA) in relation to Policy S3 Delivery of development and regeneration within Guilford Town Centre, as well as complementing Policies D1 Place Shaping and D3 Historic Environment. This is for the equal benefit of all those mentioned in Section 3 above.							
18. Could any differential impact identified in 8-17 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this activity?	Y	N	No. The scope of the SPD does not indicate evident potential for adverse differential impact.							
19. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one or more of the protected groups or any other reason?	Y	N	Not applicable							
20. If the activity is of a strategic nature, could it help to reduce inequalities associated with socio- economic disadvantage?	Y	N	The outcomes secured in line with the Local Plan and this SPD may contribute to supporting community needs including the ability to appreciate and enjoy the town's unique character and elements that contribute to it.							

21. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above protected groups?	Y	Ν	The Council do not believe there are any unmet needs for the above groups in the context of the development of this SPD.											
22. Does 'differential impact' or 'unmet need' cut across one or more of the protected groups (e.g. elder BME groups)?	Y	N	Not applicable.											
23. If yes, should a full EIA, if necessary, be conducted jointly with another service area or contractor or partner or agency?	Y	Ν	Not a	Not applicable. It is not considered that a full EIA is necessary.										
24. Is there a missed opportunity to improve this activity to meet the general duties placed on public bodies to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics and those who do not? Y N										,				
25. Should the policy proceed to a full equality impact assessment? Please use the scoring process in the right hand column to guide	Y	Ν	0 - no possible relevance or adverse impact1 - extremely low relevance and adverse impact2 - relatively low relevance and adverse impact3 - medium relevance and adverse impact4 - relatively high relevance and adverse impact								equired red			
you.			Age	Disability	Mat	Gender	Marriage	Race	Trans	Sexuality	Religion	Total	Impact	

		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	Low
26. If a full EIA is not required, are there any changes required to the proposal to improve it around the equality agenda?		There	are no cha	nges	considere	ed to be re	equired.					
27. How will any actions identified in 20. to 26. above be taken forward?			are no acti r support to							option that	would pr	ovide

Signed

Riaan van Eeden (completing officer)

Signed

Stuart Harrison (Head of Service)

Countersigned

Peter Stevens / Sarah-Jane Grant (member of Equality Action Group)

Date: 03 September 2019

Date: 03 September 2019

Date: 10 September 2019