
Guildford Development Management Policies Examination

Response to Inspector's Matters, Issues and Questions
submitted on behalf of Martin Grant Homes
(ID: 8944737)

**Matter 7:
Policy D5**

October 2022

Guildford Development Management Policies Examination

Response to Inspector's Matters, Issues and Questions

**Matter 7:
Policy D5**

**Barton Willmore, now Stantec on behalf of
Martin Grant Homes (ID: 8944737)**

Project Ref:	21633/P24/A5
Status:	Final
Issue/Rev:	01
Date:	21 October 2022
Prepared by:	[REDACTED]
Checked by:	[REDACTED]
Authorised by:	[REDACTED]

Barton Willmore, now Stantec
The Blade
Abbey Square
Reading
Berkshire. RG1 3BE

Tel: 0118 943 0000
Fax: 0118 943 0001
Email: planning@bartonwillmore.co.uk

Ref: 21633/P24/A5/EF

Date: 21 October 2022

COPYRIGHT

The contents of this document must not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part without the written consent of Barton Willmore, now Stantec.

All our stationery is produced using recycled or FSC paper and vegetable oil based inks.

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Barton Willmore, now Stantec is instructed by Martin Grant Homes (MGH) to submit this hearing statement in response to the Inspector's Matters, Issues and Questions. This statement expands upon the representations submitted on behalf of MGH at the earlier stages of the Local Plan: Development Management Policies' preparation.
- 1.2 As background, MGH owns (freehold) the Gosden Hill strategic site allocated for residential mixed-use development in Policy A25 of the adopted Guildford Borough Local Plan: Strategy and Sites (April 2019).
- 1.3 MGH and its consultant team continues to proactively engage with the Council and other consultees to develop MGH's emerging masterplan for the site.
- 1.4 This statement supplements the representation submitted at Regulation 19 consultation stage in February 2022 and responds to the outputs of ongoing discussions with the Council and the output of MGH's own technical work.

RESPONSE TO MATTER 7: POLICY D5

Main Question: Whether the other policies in the DMP are positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with both national policy and the LPSS.

- 1.1 No. We support the principle of providing high-quality amenity spaces as part of development. However, as drafted we do not consider that Policy D5 is effective or sound. To ensure that Policy D5 is effective it should support amenity provision which is informed by the location, nature and size of a development. This will enable the provision of usable and attractive open space suited to a given development.
- 1.2 In the context of the above, the design of balconies, gardens and communal open spaces should be considered on a case by case basis rather than dictated by unjustified prescriptive requirements.
- 1.3 As drafted, Policy D5 seeks to impose a space requirement for balconies and terraces. The proposed space requirement is not justified and whilst it may be appropriate in some instances the unjustified requirement should not be imposed across all sites. For example, on a constrained site it may be appropriate for smaller balconies to be provided (to provide outdoor space without compromising the efficient use of land) or balconies not be provided to all dwellings (e.g. if they overlook noisy areas) and instead communal space be provided for the benefit of all residents. We therefore recommend that if considered necessary guidance on the size and balconies and terraces is provided within the supporting text.
- 1.4 Taking account of the above, we recommend that in order to be justified, effective and sound part 2 of the policy is amended as follows:

- 2) All new build residential development proposals, including flatted development, are expected to have ~~direct~~ access to ~~an area of private~~ or communal outdoor amenity space. In providing appropriate outdoor amenity space, both private and shared, development proposals are required to:**
- a) take into account the orientation of the amenity space in relation to the sun at different times of the year;**
 - b) address issues of overlooking and enclosure, which may otherwise impact unacceptably on the proposed property and any neighbouring properties; and**

bartonwillmore.co.uk

TOWN PLANNING
MASTERPLANNING & URBAN DESIGN
ARCHITECTURE
LANDSCAPE PLANNING & DESIGN
SUSTAINABLE VALUE
INFRASTRUCTURE &
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
HERITAGE
GRAPHIC COMMUNICATION
COMMUNICATIONS & ENGAGEMENT
DEVELOPMENT ECONOMICS

This product is printed
on stock and in a process
that conforms to the PEFC
standards for sustainably
managed forests.